		1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
2		NORABLE GLORIA M. NAVARRO DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	, :	
5	Plaintiff,	: :	
6	vs.	: No. 2:16-CR-00046-GMN-PAL-1 :	
7	CLIVEN D. BUNDY,	: :	
8	Defendant.	: :	
9		:	
10			
11 12	TRANSCE	RIPT OF MOTION HEARING	
13		May 25, 2016	
14 15	L	as Vegas, Nevada	
16			
17			
18	FTR No. 7D/20160525 @ 9	:39 a.m.	
19			
20			
21	Transcribed by:	Donna Davidson, CCR, RDR, CRR (775) 329-0132	
22		dodavidson@att.net	
23			
24			
25		y electronic sound recording, mechanical stenography and computer.)	

2 1 APPEARANCES 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 Steven W. Myhre Nadia Janjua Ahmed 5 Nicholas D. Dickinson Erin Creegan UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 6 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Steven.Myhre@usdoj.gov 8 nadia.ahmed@usdoj.gov nicholas.dickinson@usdoj.gov 9 FOR THE DEFENDANT: 10 Joel F. Hansen 11 HANSEN RASMUSSEN 12 1835 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (702) 385-5533 13 Fax: (702) 382-8891 lisab@hrnvlaw.com 14 15 PRETRIAL SERVICES: 16 Zach Bowen 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

necessary to be able to provide a formal written motion.

There was a schedule that was set. The schedule was met timely. The defense did file a motion. There was a response filed, and the Court has entered an order denying that motion.

So at the last hearing I also went ahead and permitted everyone to argue regarding the appeal of the detention so we wouldn't have to prepare again for today. And everybody has provided the information and submitted the case.

So the Court has considered the parties' briefs, oral arguments, and the factors in Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3142(g).

The Court also noted the original presumption was not erased when a defendant offers evidence to rebut it. The presumption does remain in the case. And it is an evidentiary finding that goes against release. But it is weighed with the other evidence factors relevant to 3142(g).

The Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that no conditions or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and that there is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

And further the Court finds by clear and

convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the persons or the community.

More specifically, as to the risk of nonappearance, the Court finds that the defendant has been alleged to defy the federal court orders for over 20 years. He abides by the law selectively, shows no remorse for his disobedience of court orders and resides in an isolated area with body guards willing to confront law enforcement.

His family ties and letters of support do not provide good faith assurances that he will appear for the Court and comply with Court orders and conditions.

He's facing four 924(c) counts which provides for a sentence of over 80 years if convicted. And he admits that he does not recognize federal jurisdiction or authority which provides a basis to believe that he would not willingly appear.

There's overwhelming weight of the evidence bearing on the indicia of reliability. There are photos, recordings, et cetera, that have been provided. And he has consistently failed to abide by numerous orders issued by this same particular court, the District of Nevada.

The defendant also does not provide a good faith assurance to the Court that future orders will be respected nor that he will comply.

As to the danger of the community, the defendant was alleged to have recruited gunmen to assault law enforcement, use threats of force to prevent law enforcement from enforcing court orders, was willing to cause injury or loss of life to others for its own financial benefit. He was pledged to use force and violence to prevent any future law enforcement action.

His family ties and letters of support do not mitigate his threat to law enforcement and anyone associated with the law enforcement.

And he is a leader, organizer, and primary beneficiary of the conspiracy charged in the complaint demonstrating that he will do what it takes even at the cost of substantial injury to persons in the community.

Accordingly, Mr. Bundy, you are hereby ordered detained pending trial. And you will be committed to the custody of the US Marshal Service for confinement in a correction facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences with reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.

And if you wish to appeal pursuant to Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3145(c), I'm advising you now that you have 30 days to file your appeal.

And if you cannot afford an attorney the Court will appoint one for you. And if you cannot afford a copy

```
7
1
      of the transcript or other documents that are necessary to
2
      complete your appellate process, they will be made
3
      available to you at the government's expense.
                 So that concludes the hearing for this morning.
 4
5
      Thank you for your patience in coming in.
 6
                 And Court is in recess.
7
                 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Off record. All rise.
8
             (The proceedings concluded at 9:44 a.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		8
1	-000-	
2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct	
3	transcript from the electronic sound recording	
4	of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.	
5		
6	Donna Davidsu 6/10/16	-
7	Donna Davidson Date	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		